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#### Abstract

$\beta$-Lactoglobulin A ( $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A) modified with N -ethylmaleimide ( $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A) was purified by ion exchange chromatography, and modification of $\beta$ LG A by NEM was confirmed by time of flight mass spectrometry and 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) methods. The fluorescent spectrum of NEM$\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A was slightly different from that of native $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A. NEM $-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A gave no polymerization after heating at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 7.5 , as shown by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Conformational change of NEM $-\beta$ LG A was observed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by ultraviolet differential spectra, whereas after cooling it recovered to its original state as before heating, indicating apparent reversible thermal denaturation. Native $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A is resistant to pepsin hydrolysis, whereas heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A was easily hydrolyzed by pepsin. NEM $-\beta$ LG A before heating was also resistant to pepsin hydrolysis, and after heating NEM $\beta$ LG A was still resistant to pepsin hydrolysis. These results indi cate that NEM - $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A maintained a conformation similar to its native form even after heating. Addition of 0.2 M NaCl to the $\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$ heated under salt-free condition induced polymerization of heated $\beta$ LG A molecules, but not that of heated NEM - $\beta$ LG A. This seemed to indicate that the formation of inter- or intramolecular disulfide linkage made the heat-induced conformational change of $\beta$ LG A irreversible.
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## INTRODUCTION

Milk whey protein consists of globular proteins such as $\beta$-lactoglobulin ( $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ ), $\alpha$-lactalbumin, and serum al bumin. $\beta \mathrm{LG}$, the major protein component, is largely responsible for the aggregation and gelation of whey protein on heating. A $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ molecule consists of 162 amino acid residues and has two disulfide (S-S) bonds, at Cys ${ }^{66}$ - Cys $^{160}$ and Cys ${ }^{106}$-Cys ${ }^{119}$, and a free sulfhydryl group at Cys ${ }^{121}$. Under physiol ogical conditions, this globular protein exists as a dimer consisting of antiparallel $\beta$-sheets formed by nine $\beta$-strands (1). $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ has seven variants, and most of them are variants $A$ and $B$ $(2,3) . \beta \mathrm{LG}$ variant $\mathrm{A}(\beta \mathrm{LGA})$ was used throughout this study.
$\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecules aggregate when they are heated, and intermolecular disulfide bonds seem to play an important role in such molecular aggregation (4). Aggregation of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A depends on $\mathrm{pH}(5-7)$, salt concentration (8, 9), and heating temperature (10). Although the importance of free sulfhydryl residues is accepted for molecular aggregation of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ on heating, the molecular events occurring on the thermal denaturation and aggregation via intermolecular disulfide formation have not been revealed in detail. To reveal the mechanism of heat-induced molecular aggregation of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$, it is important to clarify the participation of the sulfhydryl residue.
Milk whey protein is widely used as a food ingredient due to its high gelation and emulsification abilities. Changes in the conformation of the protein at the molecular level strongly influence the functional proper-

[^0]ties of the food protein. Gel formation is usually induced by the formation of a network structure with protein molecules when heated in the food system. Heating induces the conformational change in a protein molecule. Molecular interaction among the denatured protein molecules is necessary for the construction of the three-dimensional network structure.
The objective of the present study was to examine the conformational change of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A by heating, particularly its reversibility and pH dependency. In addition, the molecular interactions through the disulfide bridge and other noncovalent forces have been analyzed to reveal the mechanism of molecular polymerization and gelation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (variant A; L-7880 St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ) and applied onto a G-75 Sephadex (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) col umn previously equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ) and containing 0.1 M sodium chloride ( NaCl ) and $0.02 \%$ sodium azide. To understand the aggregation mechanism correctly, dimers of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A were removed. $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A fractions were collected and stored in a $75 \%$ ammonium sulfate suspension at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. Porcine stomach pepsin (activity $=2500-$ 3500 units/mg of protein; P-7012) was also obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. Other chemicals were of reagent grade and obtained from Nacalai Tesque, Inc. (Kyoto, J apan) and Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. (Osaka, J apan). NEthylmaleimide (special reagent for the research of sulfurcontaining protein, NEM) was purchased from Nacalai Tesque.

Protein Concentration. The protein concentration of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and porcine pepsin was determined spectrophotometrically on the basis of molar extinction coefficients of $\epsilon_{280 \mathrm{~nm}}$ for $\beta \mathrm{LG}$

A and porcine pepsin of 0.96 and $1.32 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively (11, 12).
Determination of the Number of Sulfhydryl Residues by 5,5'-Dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB). The number of sulfhydryl residues of the protein sample was measured according to the DTNB method (13). The reaction mixture contained protein ( $0.25-0.63 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), DTNB ( 0.2 mM ), SDS ( $0.5 \%$ ), EDTA•2Na ( 1 mM ), and sodium phosphate buffer (40 $\mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ ). The reaction was carried out at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min . Absorbance at 412 nm was continuously measured using a spectrophotometer (UV-160A, Shimadzu Co., K yoto, J apan) for a duration of 10 min ; the value at 3 min was used for calculation. The molar extinction coefficient of $\epsilon_{412 \mathrm{~nm}}$ for TNB was $13.6 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(13,14)$.

Native (Non-denaturant) Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (Native PAGE). Native PAGE was carried out with a $10 \%$ gel. A protein sample ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was loaded in each lane, and the bands were stained with $0.1 \%$ (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 in 50\% methanol.

SDS—Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis. SDS-PAGE was carried out with a $15 \%$ gel. A protein sample ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) with or without reducing agent 2-mercaptoethanol ( +2 ME or $-2 M E)$ was loaded on each lane, and the bands were stained with $0.1 \%$ (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 in 50\% methanol.

Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (TOF-MS). The molecular sizes of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM - $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A were determined by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) TOF-MS (Voyager RP, Biospectrometry Workstation, Perseptive Biosystems Vestec Mass Spectrometry Products, Boston, MA). Protein solution ( $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was mixed with matrix solution (saturated sinapinic acid in $30 \%$ acetonitrile containing $0.1 \%$ TFA) and analyzed.

Purification of the $\beta$ LG A Modified with NEM. The $\beta$ LG A modified with NEM (NEM- $\beta$ LG A) was purified as follows. $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} ; 0.055 \mathrm{mM}$ ) was incubated with NEM ( 1.0 mM ) in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h in the dark. To the reaction mixture was added ammonium sulfate ( $75 \%$ saturation), and the precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 8000 g at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Precipitate was dissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer ( pH 8.0 ) and dialyzed against 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer ( pH 8.0 ). The dialysate was applied onto DEAE-Cellulofine A-500-m (Seikagaku Co., Tokyo, J apan), which was previously equilibrated with 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). Elution was carried out by linear pH gradient from pH 8.0 ( 125 mM Tris-HCl buffer) to pH 7.2 (125 mM Tris- HCl buffer). The purity of the NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A was determined by native-PAGE. NEM $-\beta$ LG A was stored in $75 \%$ ammonium sulfate at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

UV Absorption Difference Spectra. UV absorption difference spectra were obtained with a UV-160A spectrophotometer. Protein sample was desalted by using Sephadex G-25 column (PD-10, Pharmacia) equilibrated with 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ). The desal ted protein solution (1.0 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was placed in two cuvettes and used as sample and reference. The quartz cuvette ( $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) containing a sample solution was placed in a cuvette holder with a water jacket in which temperature-regulated water was circulated. A small magnetic stirrer bar (rod shape, 2 mm length $\times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) was placed in the cuvette, and the protein solution was stirred. The cuvette was covered with a silicon plug through which a wire thermistor was inserted into the protein solution directly. The solution was heated using a programmed heater from 25 to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, maintained at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the rate of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. The spectrum was read at 25,60 , and $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The process took 45 s.

Measurements of Fluorescence Spectra. Fluorescence spectra of the samples were measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F3000, Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, J apan) equipped with a water jacket to keep the sample at a constant temperature ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The samples analyzed were $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A or modified $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ). The excitation wavelength was 295 nm , and the emission was scanned from 305 to 400 nm .


Figure 1. Number of free sulfhydryl residues per $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ monomer as a function of incubation time with NEM. $\beta$ LG A $(1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} ; 0.055 \mathrm{mM})$ was incubated with NEM $(1.0 \mathrm{mM})$ in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 , at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. At each time the sample was taken and passed through Sephadex G-25 column to remove free NEM. The number of free sulfhydryls in each sample was measured by reactivity to DTNB.

Digestion of $\beta$ LG A and NEM- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ LG A by Pepsin. $\beta$ LG A and NEM $-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A $(1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Sodium acetate buffer ( 500 mM , pH 2.0, 300 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) and porcine pepsin ( $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{pH} 2.0,100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added to each sample. The final concentration of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A was $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, and porcine pepsin concentration was $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ( 100 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 2.0 ). The reaction mixtures were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0,5,10$, and 30 $\min$. After incubation, the reaction was stopped by adding the SDS sample buffer (pH 7.0), containing 125 mM Tris, $2 \%$ SDS, 20\% glycerol, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Effects of $\mathbf{N a C l}$ on Heated NEM- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ LG A. $\beta$ LG A was first dissol ved in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ). The protein concentration was then adjusted to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The heated sample was then immediately cooled and NEM added. The reaction mixture containing $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} ; 0.055 \mathrm{mM}$ ) and NEM ( 1.0 mM ) in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ) was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h in the dark. Then, free NEM was removed from the reaction mixture by using a Sephadex G-25 column (PD10), previously equilibrated with 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ). Protein fractions were pooled and dialyzed against 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.5 ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to completely remove free NEM. The dialysate was concentrated to $\sim 12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ by centrifugation at 2500 g and 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a centrifugal concentrator (Centriplus-10, Amicon, Inc., Beverly, MA). Then NaCl was added to the concentrated sample. The final concentrations of protein and NaCl for incubation were $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and 0.2 M , respectively. The mixture was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0,10,60$, and 120 min . After the incubation, the mixture was applied to Sephadex G-25 gel column chromatography (PD-10) to remove NaCl . The sample was analyzed by native PAGE.

Effects of NaCl on the Aggregation of Heated Protein. Two types of experiments were performed. The first experiment is as follows. $\beta$ LG A and NEM $-\beta$ LG A were heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then after cooling they were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 0.2 M NaCl for 120 min . For the second experiment, the heated $\beta$ LG A was modified with NEM and then the NEMmodified heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 0.2 M NaCl for 120 min .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the number of free sulfhydryl residues per $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A monomer as a function of incubation time with NEM. The number of free sulfhydryl residues
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Figure 2. Modification of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A with NEM and TOF-MS spectra of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A.
decreased with time due to the modification of the free sulfhydryl residues of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A by NEM. M ore than $90 \%$ of the free sulfhydryl residues per $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A monomer was modified by incubation with NEM for 4 h. Modified $\beta$ LG A was purified by DEAE chromatography. Because it is known that NEM reacts with not only sulfhydryl residues but also other residues $(15,16)$, the molecular weight of the purified NEM- $\beta$ LG A was measured with TOF-MS. The scheme in Figure 2 shows the reaction of $\beta$ LG A with NEM. From this scheme, the increase in molecular weight of $\beta$ LG A seemed to be 125.13 if only one residue of cysteine was modified. The MS fragmentation pattern showed that the molecular mass of NEM $-\beta$ LG A was 124.45 . Although there was a slight difference between the two values, it could be presumed that only 1 mol of NEM was introduced into 1 mol of $\beta$ LG A. Purified NEM $-\beta$ LG A had no sulfhydryl residue, as measured by the DTNB method (Figure 1). Therefore, this indicates that 1 mol of NEM modified 1 mol of sulfhydryl residue of one $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecule.

To demonstrate the role of sulfhydryl residues in $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A for the heat-induced aggregation, both $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and purified NEM- $\beta$ LG A were heated at different protein concentrations, and the products were analyzed by native PAGE and SDS-PAGE as shown in Figure 3. Heated $\beta$ LG A gave many bands in native PAGE, including a band between the separating gel and the stacking gel at a protein concentration $>10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. As protein concentration increased, the number of bands corresponding to higher molecular size also increased; the band for huge aggregates, which could not enter the separating gel, was observed at $>10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, and a band on the stacking gel was found at $>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Although polymerization of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecules by heating was not
observed on SDS-PAGE under any reducing conditions, SDS-PAGE in the presence of reducing agent gave no bands except the original monomer band. These results indicate that polymerization occurred through intermolecular disulfide linkage.

NEM $-\beta$ LG A showed only one band in SDS-PAGE with reducing agent. This was also the case for nonmodified $\beta$ LG A. Each native PAGE and SDS-PAGE without reducing agent also gave a main single band with the same mobility as that for the unheated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, indicating that NEM $-\beta$ LG A was not polymerized by heat. This means that only one sulfhydryl residue in the $\beta$ LG A molecule is enough and essential for polymerization of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecules by heat.

Heating induced the polymerization of the $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ mol ecule through an intermolecular disulfide bridge as shown above. Prior to polymerization of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A the conformation of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A seemed to be changed by heating, whereas the conformation of NEM- $\beta$ LG A during heating at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not known. The conformational change of $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ and NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A during heating was verified by UV absorption difference spectra. In the case of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, the change of the difference spectra appeared with an increase in the temperature (Figure 4). Although the difference absorbance was reduced with a decrease in temperature, clear differences remained after cooling, meaning that the conformational changes of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A by heat were irreversible. In the case of NEM $\beta$ LG A, difference spectra appeared at 60 and $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, the difference disappeared after cooling. This clearly indicates that NEM- $\beta$ LG A showed reversible conformational change through heating and cooling and that the irreversibility of thermal denaturation depends on the reactivity of the sulfhydryl residues of the $\beta \mathrm{LG}$


Figure 3. Nonreducing PAGE (native PAGE) and SDS-PAGE of heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM $-\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ A. $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM $-\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$ ( $0.25-50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 ) were heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the samples was electrophoresed.

A molecule. When protein denatures by heating, the chromophore interacts with the sol vent, that is, water molecules. This interaction leads the blue shift of the spectrum. In the case of ribonuclease the blue shift at 287 nm was clear, and its conformational changes by heating could be followed by the difference absorbance at 287 nm (17). This change seems to result from the microenvironmental change around the tyrosine residue in the ribonucl ease molecule. In Figure 4, the difference spectrum peak at 287 nm in both cases of $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ and NEM- $\beta$ LG A are distinct, suggesting the conformational change of $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ and $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A by heating. A distinct difference spectrum was obtained at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Difference absorption was reduced with a decrease in temperature in the case of NEM - $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, and a significant difference absorption was not observed after cooling, whereas such difference remained after cooling in the case of $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$.

When the conformation of protein changes on heating, the microenvironment around the tyrosine and tryptophan residues is often changed, which is reflected in the alteration of the UV spectrum: a shift to the shortwavelength region, that is, a blue shift. This shift can be detected sensitively by UV absorption difference spectra. A difference absorption spectrum is useful to


Figure 4. UV difference spectra of $\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ at pH 7.5. $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A $(1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 ) were heated from 25 to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and cooled at the rate of $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. The spectra were measured between 260 and 330 nm .
detect the denaturation and conformational change of a protein molecule. In both cases of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ and NEM $\beta$ LG the difference absorption at 277 nm (tyrosine) and 285 and 294 nm (tryptophan) appeared with an increase in temperature, meaning that the microenvironment around the tyrosine and tryptophan residues changed by heating, indicating the conformational change occurred in $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ and $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ by heating. In the case of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ the difference spectrum that occurred by heating did not return to the original state after cooling, meaning that the heat-induced conformational change, including the alteration of the microenvironment of these residues, was thermally irreversible. On the other hand, the spectrum of NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ returned to the original state after cooling, showing a reversible conformational change of NEM $-\beta$ LG by heating and cooling.

Native $\beta$ LG A is known to be resistant to pepsins even at acid pH values, and the heat-denatured $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ is easily susceptible to pepsin (18). Proteinase susceptibility sensitively reflects the change in the conformation of the protein molecule. Then the susceptibility of NEM- $\beta$ LG A after heating to porcine pepsin was investigated. Figure 5 shows the SDS-PAGE pattern of $\beta$ LG A and NEM $-\beta$ LG A heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h after treatment with porcine pepsin. Heated $\beta$ LG A gave several bands with higher mobility than that of unheated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, indicating that the heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A was hydrolyzed by pepsin as shown before (18). The SDSPAGE of NEM $-\beta$ LG A without a reducing agent gave nearly a single band with a mobility similar to that of the unheated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A. The SDS-PAGE of NEM $-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A with a reducing agent ( +2 ME ) gave in addition other quite faint bands that have higher mobility than $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A. This shows that NEM $-\beta$ LG A was resistant to pepsin even after heating at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Nevertheless, some slight faint bands with lower molecular sizes were observed, which seemed to be due to a few nicks in NEM $-\beta$ LG A (Figure 5). This means that the conformation of NEM $-\beta$ LG A was not so different from that of the native $\beta$ LG A and that NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A showed a reversible conformational change on heating and cooling.

The change in the conformation of $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$ on heating and cooling was investigated further by fluorescence spectrum measurement. Figure 6 shows the


Figure 5. Pepsin treatment of $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ and $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 7.5 . \beta \mathrm{LGA}$ and $\mathrm{NEM}-\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}(0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 ) were heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the pH was adjusted to 2.0 with sodium acetate buffer ( $100 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 2.0$ ). Porcine pepsin was added to make a final concentration of $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0,5,10$, and 30 min . Reaction was stopped by the addition of SDS-PAGE sample buffer, and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the samples was electrophoresed.


Figure 6. Fluorescence spectra of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM $-\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A. Fluorescence spectra of $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$ or NEM $-\beta \mathrm{LGA}(1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 5$ mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 ) were measured at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The excitation wavel ength was 295 nm , and the emission was scanned from 305 to 400 nm .
fluorescence spectra of unheated $\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$, heated $\beta \mathrm{LGA}$, unheated NEM- $\beta$ LG A, and heated NEM- $\beta$ LG A. U pon heating and cooling, the fluorescence spectrum of $\beta$ LG A showed a red shift and the fluorescence intensity increased. This suggests that the microenvironment of some tryptophan and/or tyrosine residues in $\beta$ LG A changed and probably these amino acid residues were exposed and interacted with water molecules; hence, the spectrum was shifted. That is, the shift of spectrum indicates the change in the conformation of protein. The spectrum of unheated NEM - $\beta$ LG A was different from that of unheated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A. F or this change in spectrum there may be two interpretations. The NEM introduced to $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A interacted with some amino acid residues in the $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecules, which induced the change in the
fluorescence spectrum, although the conformation of $\beta$ LG A was not altered. Another interpretation is that the modification by NEM induced a conformational change in the $\beta$ LG A molecule that caused the alteration of its fluorescence spectrum. According to the results of a differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) study (19), it is presumed that the latter interpretation is more plausible. After cooling of NEM- $\beta$ LG A, a slightly different spectrum from that of unheated NEM $-\beta$ LG A was obtained; however, the difference is much smaller than that of the heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A from the unheated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A. This indicates that NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A changed its conformation with heating at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the change in conformation was reversible and almost returned to that of unheated NEM $-\beta$ LG A. H owever, the reversed conformation was not completely the same as those of the unheated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and unheated NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, from the observation of the pepsin study and the fluorescence spectra.

It should be noted that in all of the experiments above heating was done under salt-free conditions and no salt was added. Ionic strength is known to produce a significant effect on the aggregation of heated protein molecules (8, 9, 18, 20, 21). Therefore, to examine the effects of salt on the aggregation of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM $\beta$ LG A the following experiments were performed. For the first experiment, Figure 7 shows that the heated $\beta$ LG A giving polymers aggregated by incubation with 0.2 M NaCl at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and larger sizes of molecular polymers was formed through intermolecular disulfide bonds. On the other hand, the heated NEM - $\beta$ LG A gave almost a single or only a few bands on native PAGE and SDS-PAGE, and no change in electrophoretic pattern was observed after incubation with NaCl . These results indicate that the heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecules and/ or the aggregates of heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A interact by noncovalent forces to give larger aggregates by the incubation with NaCl . In this case, intermolecular disulfide linkages among heated $\beta$ LG A molecules were newly formed during incubation with NaCl . It might be that


Figure 7. Native PAGE and SDS-PAGE of heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and heated NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$ after incubation with NaCl at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$ and NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LGA}(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5)$ were heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and NaCl was added to bring the final concentration to 0.2 M; $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the samples was electrophoresed.


Figure 8. Effects of NaCl on aggregation of NEM -heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A: native PAGE (A) and SDS-PAGE (B) of NEM-heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A. Details are shown in the text.
the hydrophobic amino acid residues in the $\beta$ LGA molecule, exposed from inside the $\beta$ LG A molecule to the surface of the $\beta \mathrm{LGGA}$ molecule by heating, induced the aggregation of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecules with hydrophobic interaction, which increased with an increase in ionic strength because of the addition of NaCl (15), resulting in the formation of intermolecular disulfide bridges. F or heated NEM - $\beta$ LG A the electrophoretic patterns were not changed by incubation with NaCl at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as it
seemed that the conformation of NEM- $\beta$ LG A had already returned almost to the native state. Thus, the hydrophobic area on the NEM $-\beta$ LG A, which should be exposed by heating, was hidden again after cooling. For the second experiment, the heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A was modified with NEM and then the NEM-modified and heated $\beta$ LG A (NEM-heated- $\beta$ LG A) was incubated with 0.2 M NaCl at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 min . The electrophoretic pattern of NEM-heated $\beta$ LG A in native PAGE
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Figure 9. Scheme of the change in the conformation and polymerization of $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A and NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{A}$.
showed further aggregation by incubation with NaCl , and huge aggregates, which could not enter the separation gel, were observed after long incubations, that is, 60 and 120 min (Figure 8A). SDS-PAGE of NEM-heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A (Figure 8B) showed no change in the electrophoretic pattern after incubation with NaCl . This indicates that the size of the aggregates, which was formed by the first heating under salt-free condition, was not changed by the addition of NaCl . Both heated $\beta$ LG A and NEM-heated- $\beta$ LG A showed an increase in the size of aggregate by the addition of NaCl . Native PAGE in Figures 7 and 8A demonstrates this. Although huge aggregates were also observed by SDS-PAGE in the case of heated $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, such aggregates could not be found in NEM-heated- $\beta$ LG A. This means that the aggregates of NEM-heated- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, in which the sulfhydryl residue was blocked with NEM, associated together by hydrophobic interaction. Interaggregate disulfide linkage did not occur. On the other hand, the aggregates of heated $\beta$ LG A associated by incubation with NaCl and linked together through disulfide bridges.

## CONCLUSION

Figure 9 summarizes the results in this study. The conformational change in $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A occurred on heating and the denatured $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A molecules interacted and linked together by an intermolecular disulfide bridge that was formed by sulfhydryl-disulfide interchange reaction. Prior to the formation of the intermolecular disulfide, some noncovalent forces among heated $\beta$ LG A molecules seemed to contribute to the aggregation, particularly hydrophobic interaction. Because of the intermolecular disulfide linkage between $\beta$ LG A molecules, the change in the conformation was irreversible as sulfhydryl-blocked $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, that is, NEM- $\beta \mathrm{LG}$ A, could retain its original conformation after cooling. Intramolecular disulfide linkage as well as intermolecular linkage may be formed. Noncovalent forces,
mainly hydrophobic interaction, contributed to the formation of the aggregates. In addition, intermol ecular disulfide linkage formation in the aggregates could occur to give even huge aggregates when incubated with NaCl .

## ABBREVIATIONS USED

$\beta$ LG A, $\beta$-lactoglobulin A; NEM, N-ethylmaleimide; TOF-MS, time-of-flight mass spectrometry; DTNB, 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid); SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; 2ME, 2-mercaptoethanol; DSC, differential scanning calorimetry.
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